Headings	Notes
The Research Study Report	 As part of the assessment process in Leaving Certificate History, students must submit a writter
	report on a Research Study carried out by them under the supervision of their history teacher. It
	counts for 20% of the total marks, and presents a number of advantages for students:
	Students can choose a topic that they find interesting.
	 Unlike the final written examination with its strict time limits for each answer, students can wor
	on the Research Study in their own time and at their own pace. As the Chief Examiner
	remarked in the 2017 Report: 'As well as taking ownership of the research process, the
	candidate avails of the guidance of his/her teacher without the imposition of urgent deadlines
	or the stress of presenting the research findings under examination conditions.'
	Crucially, students tend to do very well in this part of the assessment. According to the
	Leaving Certificate Chief Examiner's Report in History (2017), the average mark for the
	Research Study Report was 89%.
	In order to gain high marks in the Research Study Report, students must be aware of two
	contrasting approaches:
	On the one hand, they enjoy a high degree of freedom in choosing a topic and they exercise
	responsibility and judgement in drawing up the report and in reaching and justifying their
	conclusions.
	However, there are strict rules which must be followed in terms of overall length, citation of
	sources, and time limits on possible topics.
	If students observe these requirements, there is no reason why they should not succeed in
	achieving close to full marks in the Research Study Report.
Choosing a Topic	 If a student finds a topic interesting, then it follows that the research process and subsequent
	written report will benefit as a result. Therefore, time and care devoted to choosing a suitable
	topic are well worth the effort. In choosing a topic, certain pitfalls should be avoided:
	Students are not allowed to research anything that occurred after 1993.
	• Key personalities or case studies in the syllabus should not be chosen. The Chief Inspector's
	Report (2006) stated that 'examiners' reports often expressed concern about the wisdom of
	these choices.'
	Subjects that are too broad should not be chosen. The history syllabus states that 'the subject's that are too broad should not be chosen.
	for investigation must be clearly defined. Its focus should be narrow rather than broad so as to
	allow for depth of investigation.'
	Topics that do not have a clear historical significance should not be chosen. The syllabus
	states that the subject chosen 'should not be obscure or trivial'.
	In choosing a topic, consider:
	 A topic from local history. The Chief Examiner's Report (2011) observed that 'examiners
	were pleased to note that, while most topics were drawn from the historical mainstream of
	the syllabus, more candidates were opting for local history'.

Headings	Notes
Choosing a Topic	A person in history who was not one of the leading personalities or a key personality in the
	syllabus.
	 A link with another favourite subject – for example, a subject from the country whose modern
	language a student is studying; a business-linked topic like the history of a local industry; a
	topic linked to art or music like the role of Hugh Lane or Seán Ó Riada in Irish history.
	 A local school or sporting organisation. In this case, care must be taken to link developments
	at local level with developments throughout Ireland.
	A topic connected to culture, religion or science with a historical significance. In the
	Chief Examiner's Report (2011), it is stated that 'students' learning experience and examination
	options will be optimised if they are exposed to history from the perspective of culture, religion
	and science'.
	Having chosen the subject of their Research Study in consultation with their teacher, students
	will then need to consider the structure of the Research Study Report.
The Structure of the	Outline Plan 15 marks
Research Study Report	Evaluation of Sources 25 marks
	Extended Essay 50 marks
	Review of Research Process 10 marks
The Outline Plan (15 marks)	The following must be clearly stated in the Outline Plan:
A. Setting out the approach to	The title and scope of the topic, including relevant dates. The title should be carefully chosen
the study (9 marks)	so as to ensure that it covers the main aims of the study.
	The reasons for choosing it, including its historical significance. Here students have an
	opportunity to explain their personal decision to choose a particular subject and to defend
	their choices by showing that they are significant historically.
	 The aims of the study: this involves outlining clearly what the student hopes to discover in
	the course of the study.
	• Students can lose marks at this stage if they are not clear and exact in their approach. The Chie
	Examiner's Report (2017) identified some faults here that were found at both Ordinary and
	Higher levels:
	 Defining the chosen subject but failing to justify its historical significance.
	Not clearly identifying all of their intended aims.
	 Giving generic, that is non-specific, points about their intended approach.
	Having set out the approach to be taken in the Research Study, the student then proceeds to
	list the sources used.
B. Correctly listing sources (6	•
marks)	•

Headings	Notes
B. Correctly listing sources (6 marks)	Because history is based on evidence, some of the main skills of the historian centre on
	gathering, ordering and referring to sources. When carrying out research, students should
	always be aware that they may need to use evidence to support their statements. For the
	purposes of the Research Study sources may be primary or secondary. There is a difference
	in the requirements for Ordinary and Higher Level students:
	Ordinary Level At least two sources
	Higher Level At least three sources
	Because the examiner must be able to authenticate the sources used, students must cite them
	accurately as follows:
	Primary sources such as letters or other documents usually include the date of the source
	and the place where it is held; e.g. Letter of Count John McCormack to Archbishop Edward
	Byrne, 18 September 1931. Dublin Diocesan Archives.
	 When using interviews as sources, the person being interviewed together with the date must
	be cited; e.g. Mary Browne, North Strand, Dublin interviewed three times between 1 February
	and 1 March 2019 regarding her memories of the North Strand bombings in 1941.
	• For newspaper articles , the author's name, the title of the article, the name of the newspape
	and the date of publication must be given: e.g. D. Ferriter, 'Why the Rising matters', The Irish
	Times, 23 September 2015.
	Secondary sources such as books should be listed in alphabetical order based on the
	author's surname:
	J.S. Donnelly, <i>The Land and the People of Nineteenth-Century Cork</i> (London, 1975)
	J.J. Lee, Ireland 1912-1985: Politics and Society (Cambridge, 1989)
	F.M. McGarry, <i>The Rising: Ireland, Easter 1916</i> (Oxford, 2018)
	Note that the place and date of publication are cited within round brackets after the title.
	Having listed the secondary sources correctly in the Outline Plan, students should note that,
	when using them later in the course of the Extended Essay, it will be sufficient to place the
	name of the author and the date of publication in round brackets after the relevant statement
	or quotation: e.g. (Lee, 1989, p.X) or (Donnelly, 1985, p.X).
	• Internet sources demand special care. The full web address (URL) and the date of access
	must be given. The omission of any of these details will result in a loss of marks. In the Chief
	Examiner's Report (2011), the following warning was clearly stated: "The citing of internet
	sources proved the most problematic: some merely mentioned that they had used the internet
	as a source, which is as vague as saying that they had read a book. It appeared that many
	candidates had recourse to the internet as their first and only source."
	The correct citation of sources is, therefore, of vital importance. To quote again from the Chief
	Examiner's Report (2011): "Many [students] lost marks for not citing sources completely
	and accurately."
	ana accuratory.

Headings	Notes
B. Correctly listing sources (6 marks)	• Having listed the sources accurately, the student is in a position to move on to the next part of
	the Research Study Report which consists of the evaluation of sources.
The Evaluation of Sources (25 marks)	 This section of the Research Study Report carries a quarter of all the available marks. Therefore,
	it is vital that students approach it carefully and avoid the pitfalls identified in the Chief Examiner's
	Report (2006):
	The evaluation of sources was where students lost marks. This was because they tried to
	summarise the historical content of the sources rather than identify their strengths and
	weaknesses in the pursuit of the research objectives.
	Three ideas are clear from this:
	Content or narrative must be avoided here.
	The strengths and weaknesses of each individual source should be highlighted.
	The sources should be evaluated in terms of how they help the student to achieve the research
	objectives.
	When evaluating a source, students need to:
	Identify the type of source, whether primary or secondary.
	Identify the author or provider of the source.
	 Comment on its strengths or weaknesses, mentioning whether it provides a balanced/unbiased
	view or is biased/prejudiced in its approach. Note that biased sources can be very useful in
	providing the historian with an insight into strongly held views.
	Consider the author's use of narrative and evaluation, particularly in the case of secondary
	sources (i.e. books and articles).
	 Consider the content and structure of a source, i.e. whether it deals with a topic chronologically
	or thematically.
	Comment on the relevance of the source to the objectives of the Research Study.
	When the evaluation of sources is completed the student is in a position to tackle the final
	section of the Research Study Report - the Extended Essay and the Review of the Research
	Process.
The Extended Essay (50	Both the Extended Essay and the Review of the Research Process are added together when it
marks)	• comes to the permitted length of the Research Study Report: the earlier sections on the Outline
	Plan and the Evaluation of Sources are not included for the purposes of the word count. Note
	that different word counts apply for Ordinary and Higher Level students:
	Ordinary Level 600-800 words
	Higher Level 1,200-1,500 words
	• It is important not to exceed these limits as Chief Examiners' Reports frequently complain about the
	• failure of students to observe these rules. Half the marks for the Research Study are allocated to the
	• Essay. This is the student's opportunity to present research findings in a clear and convincing way.

Headings	Notes		
The Extended Essay (50 marks)	Allocation of Marks		
	Historical Knowledge	25 marks	
	Research Skills	15 marks	
	Presentation	10 marks	
	The Extended Essay should include a clear introd	duction, a well-developed and logical discussion of	
	the chosen topic and a strong conclusion base	d on the main findings of the study. In referring to	
	sources in the course of the Extended Essay, t	here are two possibilities:	
	Close Reference: here the student does not quote directly from the source but mentions		
	• information that comes from the source, so reference is made to it by placing a citation such		
	• as (Lee, 1989, p.X) directly after the statement.		
	Direct Quotation: here the student quotes directly from the source and follows with a citation		
	• such as (Lyons, 1971, p.X).		
	It is extremely important that the student never	uses exact words from a source without letting	
	the reader know that they are not his/her own words, as to do so would amount to plagiarism		
	(i.e. attempting to pass off other people's work as the student's own). Although this is a rare at		
	Leaving Certificate level, it does occur, leading to failure of the student to obtain a grade in the		
	history examination (Chief Examiner's Report, 2011). Thankfully, the vast majority of students		
	present their own work and the Extended Essa	ys have received high praise in the Chief	
	Examiners' Reports.		
The Review of the Research	In this section examiners are looking out for ref	flections on the student's own personal experience	
Process (10 marks)	of the research process. It is essential that students do not fall into the trap identified by the		
	Chief Examiner's Report (2006):		
	A considerable number of candidates failed to include the required review of the research		
	process with their essay. Where reviews were presented, there was often evidence of formula		
	answers, based perhaps on 'model answers', rather than on the candidate's individual		
	response to his/her own research experience.		
	This section should incorporate the following considerations:		
	What difficulties were encountered in sourcing information on the research topic?		
	How was information recorded and collated?		
	Were the aims of the study fulfilled?		
	What was learned from the research about the skills of the historian?		
	What could have been done differently?		
	Are there any aspects of the Research Study	which would require further exploration?	
	How did the research benefit the student personal content in the student personal content	sonally and how did it contribute to your historica	
	knowledge of the topic?		

Headings	Notes
A Final Piece of Advice	One of the realities of the lives of historians as in the lives of other authors is the existence of
	deadlines. Just as historians have publication deadlines, Leaving Cert History students have
	submission deadlines.
	• The ultimate deadline for submission of the Research Study Report is usually in April/May of
	the Leaving Certificate year when it must be written into a special booklet. At this stage
	students will be practising another essential skill of the historian – presenting their findings in
	the form of a clear readable account. For the best outcome, they will have written a number
	of successive drafts until they are satisfied with the result.
	However, in order to obtain the best possible result, it is strongly recommended to students
	that they aim to produce their final drafts by the month of February around the time when the
	are sitting their Pre-Leaving Certificate/Mock examinations. As the months of March and Apri
	usually contain oral examinations in languages and some practical examinations in other
	subjects, it would be a great advantage to students to have the Research Study Report
	completed. In this case, along with extensive preparation completed in the Documents-Based
	section of the history examination, students will then be able to focus on the three topics for
	revision.